Note: In this problem set, expressions in green cells match corresponding expressions in the text answers.

Clear["Global`*"]

1 - 4 Contour Integration

Integrate
$$\frac{z^2}{z^2-1}$$
 by Cauchy's

formula counterclockwise around the circle.

1.
$$Abs[z + 1] = 1$$

Clear["Global`*"]


The formula for a circular plot in the given format needs to be recalled:

ParametricPlot[$\{r Cos[t] + a, r Sin[t] + b\}$, $\{t, 0, 2\pi\}$

ParametricPlot[{1 Cos[z] - 1, 1 Sin[z] + 0}, {z, 0, 2
$$\pi$$
}, ImageSize \rightarrow 150, Epilog \rightarrow {{RGBColor[$\frac{95}{255}$, $\frac{130}{255}$, $\frac{179}{255}$],

Arrowheads[0.1], Arrow[$\{\{-1.805, 0.6\}, \{-1.85, 0.55\}\}$],

$$\left\{ \text{RGBColor} \left[\frac{95}{255}, \frac{130}{255}, \frac{179}{255} \right], \text{Point} \left[\{-1, 0\} \right] \right\} \right\}$$



This problem is covered in the s.m. As the s.m. points out, the first task is to find out where the problem function is not analytic. By inspection this is seen to be at the points $z_0=-1$ and 1. The point 1 is not a concern since it is not inside the domain, but the point -1 is. The non-analytic condition will occur when $z_0=-1$.

$$g[z_{-}] = \frac{z^{2}}{z^{2}-1}$$

$$z^{2}$$

$$\frac{z^2}{-1+z^2}$$

$$\frac{z^2}{(-1+z)(1+z)}$$

$$\oint_C \frac{\mathbf{f}[\mathbf{z}]}{\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}_0} \, d\mathbf{z} = 2 \,\pi \,\mathbf{i} \,\mathbf{f}[\mathbf{z}_0],$$

which is Cauchy's integral formula, the s.m. pulls out f[z] from

$$g[z_{-}] = \frac{z^{2}}{z^{2} - 1} = \frac{f[z]}{z - z_{0}} = \frac{f[z]}{z - (-1)}$$
$$\frac{z^{2}}{z^{2} - 1 + z^{2}} = \frac{f[z]}{z - z_{0}} = \frac{f[z]}{1 + z}$$

and then operates on the first and last terms, multiplying by (1+z), yielding

$$f[z_{-}] = \frac{z^{2}}{z-1}$$

Now moving to numbered line (1),

$$\oint_{C} \frac{z^{2}}{z^{2}-1} dz = \oint_{C} \frac{f[z]}{z-z_{0}} dz = \oint_{C} \frac{z^{2}/(z-1)}{z-(-1)} dz =$$


$$2 \pi \dot{n} f[z_{0}] = 2 \pi \dot{n} f[-1] = \frac{2 \pi \dot{n}}{-2} = -\pi \dot{n}$$

And the way to the text answer is neatly demonstrated.

3.
$$Abs[z + i] = 1.4$$


In[64]:= Clear["Global`*"]

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Extractions} &\text{Extrac$$



$$\ln[66] = Solve[x^2 + 1 = (1.4)^2, x]$$
Out[66] = {{x \to -0.979796}, {x \to 0.979796}}

In[67]:= ContourPlot
$$[x^2 + (y - 1)^2 = (1.4)^2, \{x, 0.97, 0.99\}, \{y, -0.02, 0.02\}, GridLines \rightarrow Automatic, ImageSize \rightarrow 185]$$



Whereas in the parametric plot it looked like the unanalytic points might be at 1 and -1 exactly, a closer looks shows that they are not exactly on the curve. In fact they are outside the domain, which means that Cauchy's theorem has a chance to take effect.

In[68]:=
$$\mathbf{f}[\mathbf{z}] = \frac{\mathbf{z}^2}{\mathbf{z}^2 - 1}$$
Out[68]:= $\frac{\mathbf{z}^2}{-1 + \mathbf{z}^2}$

$$In[69]:= f[x_, y_] = f[z] /.z \rightarrow (x + i y)$$

Out[69]=
$$\frac{(x + i y)^{2}}{-1 + (x + i y)^{2}}$$

In[70]:= ComplexExpand[%];

$$\ln[71] = \mathbf{u} \left[\mathbf{x}_{-}, \mathbf{y}_{-} \right] = -\frac{\mathbf{x}^{2}}{4 \mathbf{x}^{2} \mathbf{y}^{2} + \left(-1 + \mathbf{x}^{2} - \mathbf{y}^{2}\right)^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{x}^{4}}{4 \mathbf{x}^{2} \mathbf{y}^{2} + \left(-1 + \mathbf{x}^{2} - \mathbf{y}^{2}\right)^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{y}^{4}}{4 \mathbf{x}^{2} \mathbf{y}^{2} + \left(-1 + \mathbf{x}^{2} - \mathbf{y}^{2}\right)^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{y}^{4}}{4 \mathbf{x}^{2} \mathbf{y}^{2} + \left(-1 + \mathbf{x}^{2} - \mathbf{y}^{2}\right)^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{y}^{4}}{4 \mathbf{x}^{2} \mathbf{y}^{2} + \left(-1 + \mathbf{x}^{2} - \mathbf{y}^{2}\right)^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{y}^{4}}{4 \mathbf{x}^{2} \mathbf{y}^{2} + \left(-1 + \mathbf{x}^{2} - \mathbf{y}^{2}\right)^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{y}^{4}}{4 \mathbf{x}^{2} \mathbf{y}^{2} + \left(-1 + \mathbf{x}^{2} - \mathbf{y}^{2}\right)^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{y}^{4}}{4 \mathbf{x}^{2} \mathbf{y}^{2} + \left(-1 + \mathbf{x}^{2} - \mathbf{y}^{2}\right)^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{y}^{4}}{4 \mathbf{x}^{2} \mathbf{y}^{2} + \left(-1 + \mathbf{x}^{2} - \mathbf{y}^{2}\right)^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{y}^{4}}{4 \mathbf{x}^{2} \mathbf{y}^{2} + \left(-1 + \mathbf{x}^{2} - \mathbf{y}^{2}\right)^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{y}^{4}}{4 \mathbf{x}^{2} \mathbf{y}^{2} + \left(-1 + \mathbf{x}^{2} - \mathbf{y}^{2}\right)^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{y}^{4}}{4 \mathbf{x}^{2} \mathbf{y}^{2} + \left(-1 + \mathbf{x}^{2} - \mathbf{y}^{2}\right)^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{y}^{4}}{4 \mathbf{x}^{2} \mathbf{y}^{2} + \left(-1 + \mathbf{x}^{2} - \mathbf{y}^{2}\right)^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{y}^{4}}{4 \mathbf{x}^{2} \mathbf{y}^{2} + \left(-1 + \mathbf{x}^{2} - \mathbf{y}^{2}\right)^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{y}^{4}}{4 \mathbf{x}^{2} \mathbf{y}^{2} + \left(-1 + \mathbf{x}^{2} - \mathbf{y}^{2}\right)^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{y}^{4}}{4 \mathbf{x}^{2} \mathbf{y}^{2} + \left(-1 + \mathbf{y}^{2} - \mathbf{y}^{2}\right)^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{y}^{4}}{4 \mathbf{x}^{2} \mathbf{y}^{2} + \left(-1 + \mathbf{y}^{2} - \mathbf{y}^{2}\right)^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{y}^{4}}{4 \mathbf{y}^{2} \mathbf{y}^{2} + \left(-1 + \mathbf{y}^{2} - \mathbf{y}^{2}\right)^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{y}^{4}}{4 \mathbf{y}^{2} \mathbf{y}^{2} + \left(-1 + \mathbf{y}^{2} - \mathbf{y}^{2}\right)^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{y}^{4}}{4 \mathbf{y}^{2} \mathbf{y}^{2} + \left(-1 + \mathbf{y}^{2} - \mathbf{y}^{2}\right)^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{y}^{4}}{4 \mathbf{y}^{2} \mathbf{y}^{2} + \left(-1 + \mathbf{y}^{2} - \mathbf{y}^{2}\right)^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{y}^{4}}{4 \mathbf{y}^{2} \mathbf{y}^{2} + \left(-1 + \mathbf{y}^{2} - \mathbf{y}^{2}\right)^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{y}^{4}}{4 \mathbf{y}^{2} \mathbf{y}^{2} + \left(-1 + \mathbf{y}^{2} - \mathbf{y}^{2}\right)^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{y}^{4}}{4 \mathbf{y}^{2} \mathbf{y}^{2} + \left(-1 + \mathbf{y}^{2} - \mathbf{y}^{2}\right)^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{y}^{4}}{4 \mathbf{y}^{2} \mathbf{y}^{2} + \left(-1 + \mathbf{y}^{2} - \mathbf{y}^{2}\right)^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{y}^{4}}{4 \mathbf{y}^{2} \mathbf{y}^{2} + \left(-1 + \mathbf{y}^{2} - \mathbf{y}^{2}\right)^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{y}^{4}}{4 \mathbf{y}^{2} \mathbf{y}^{2} + \left(-1 + \mathbf{y}^{2} - \mathbf{y}^{2}\right)^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{y}^{4}}{4 \mathbf{y}^{2} \mathbf{y}^{2} + \left(-1 + \mathbf{y}^{2} - \mathbf{y}^{2}\right)^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{y}^{4}}{4 \mathbf{y}^{2} \mathbf{y}^{2} + \left(-1 + \mathbf$$

$$\ln[72] = \mathbf{v} [\mathbf{x}_{-}, \mathbf{y}_{-}] = -\frac{2 \mathbf{x} \mathbf{y}}{4 \mathbf{x}^{2} \mathbf{y}^{2} + (-1 + \mathbf{x}^{2} - \mathbf{y}^{2})^{2}}$$

Out[72]=
$$-\frac{2 x y}{4 x^2 y^2 + (-1 + x^2 - y^2)^2}$$

Out[73]= True

Out[74]= True

Cyan and pink cells match. The function proves to be analytic for all real x and y. It meets the requirements of Cauchy's theorem, and therefore the contour integral equals zero.

5 - 8 Integrate the given function around the unit circle.

$$5. \frac{\cos[3z]}{6z}$$

In[55]:= Clear["Global`*"]

This problem admits use of Cauchy's Integral Formula. It is first split up,

$$ln[56]:= \mathbf{f}[\mathbf{z}_{-}] = \frac{\cos[3\ \mathbf{z}]}{6}$$

Out[56]=
$$\frac{1}{6} \cos [3 z]$$

The point of difficulty is $z_0 = 0$.

In[57]:= **f[0]**

Out[57]= $\frac{1}{6}$

And to put it in the form of Cauchy's Integral Formula, numbered line (1) on p. 660,

$$\oint_{\mathbf{C}} \frac{\mathbf{f}[\mathbf{z}]}{\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{z}_0} \, d\mathbf{z} = 2 \, \pi \mathbf{i} \, \mathbf{f}[\mathbf{z}_0]$$

Checking the Cauchy conditions. Is the unit circle, D, simply connected? Yes. Is f[z] analytic in D? The cosine function is analytic and holomorphic, and multiplying by real 1/6 will not disturb this. Nevertheless I will check it.

$$ln[58]:= \mathbf{f}[\mathbf{x}_{-}, \mathbf{y}_{-}] = \mathbf{f}[\mathbf{z}] / \cdot \mathbf{z} \rightarrow (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{i} \mathbf{y})$$

Out[58]=
$$\frac{1}{6}$$
 Cos [3 (x + \dot{n} y)]

In[59]:= ComplexExpand[%]

Out[59]=
$$\frac{1}{6}$$
 Cos[3 x] Cosh[3 y] - $\frac{1}{6}$ ii Sin[3 x] Sinh[3 y]

$$ln[GO] = u[x_, y_] = \frac{1}{6} cos[3 x] cosh[3 y]$$

Out[60]=
$$\frac{1}{6}$$
 Cos[3 x] Cosh[3 y]

$$ln[61] = v[x_{,} y_{,}] = -\frac{1}{6} sin[3 x] sinh[3 y]$$

Out[61]=
$$-\frac{1}{6}$$
 Sin[3 x] Sinh[3 y]

Out[62]=

True

Out[63]= True

> The function f[z] is analytic. The preliminaries having been taken care of, I can now write the rhs of the Cauchy Integral Formula,

2 πi f[0]

7.
$$\frac{z^3}{2z-1}$$

In[46]:= Clear["Global`*"]

This problem also invites use of Cauchy's Integral Formula. First splitting it up,

$$ln[47]:= \mathbf{f}[\mathbf{z}] = \frac{\mathbf{z}^3}{2}$$

Out[47]=
$$\frac{\mathbf{z}^3}{2}$$

The point of difficulty is $z_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ in

In[48]:=
$$\mathbf{f} \left[\frac{\dot{\mathbf{n}}}{2} \right]$$

Out[48]=
$$-\frac{\dot{\mathbf{1}}}{16}$$

Checking analyticity,

$$\ln[49] = \mathbf{f}[\mathbf{x}_{,} \mathbf{y}_{,}] = \mathbf{f}[\mathbf{z}] / \cdot \mathbf{z} \rightarrow (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{i} \mathbf{y})$$

$$\cot[49] = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{i} \mathbf{y})^{3}$$

In[50]:= ComplexExpand[%]

Out[50]=
$$\frac{x^3}{2} - \frac{3 \times y^2}{2} + i \left(\frac{3 \times y^2}{2} - \frac{y^3}{2} \right)$$

$$\ln[51] = \mathbf{u}[\mathbf{x}_{-}, \mathbf{y}_{-}] = \frac{\mathbf{x}^{3}}{2} - \frac{3 \mathbf{x} \mathbf{y}^{2}}{2}$$

Out[51]=
$$\frac{x^3}{2} - \frac{3 \times y^2}{2}$$

$$\ln[52] = \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{x}_{,} \ \mathbf{y}_{,}] = \frac{3 \ \mathbf{x}^{2} \ \mathbf{y}}{2} - \frac{\mathbf{y}^{3}}{2}$$

Out[52]=
$$\frac{3 x^2 y}{2} - \frac{y^3}{2}$$

 $\label{eq:loss} $$ \inf[S3] := $$ PossibleZeroQ[D[u[x, y], x] - D[v[x, y], y]]$ $$$ PossibleZeroQ[-D[v[x, y], x] - D[u[x, y], y]]

True Out[53]=

Out[54]= True

Retrieving the rhs of the Cauchy Integral Formula,

$$2 \pi i f \left[\frac{i}{2}\right]$$

11 - 19 Further Contour Integrals


Integrate counterclockwise or as indicated.

11.
$$\oint_C \frac{dz}{z^2+4}$$
, C: $4x^2+(y-2)^2=4$

In[31]:= Clear["Global`*"]

I think I should have a look at the domain.

 $ln[32]:= Plot\left[y /. Solve\left[x^2 + \left(\frac{y-2}{2}\right)^2 = 1\right], \{x, -2, 2\}, AspectRatio \rightarrow Automatic, \{x, -2, 2\}, AspectRatio \rightarrow Automatic, \{x, -2, 2\}, \{x, -2$ ImageSize → 200, AxesLabel → {"Re", "Im"}, GridLines → Automatic



$$\ln[33] = \mathbf{g}[\mathbf{z}] = \frac{1}{\mathbf{z}^2 + 4}$$

Out[33]=
$$\frac{1}{4+z^2}$$

$$ln[34]:=$$
 Solve $[z^2 + 4 == 0, z]$

Out[34]=
$$\{\{z \rightarrow -2 i\}\}, \{z \rightarrow 2 i\}\}$$

 $z_0=2$ *i* lies in the domain, whereas $z_0=-2$ *i* does not. Using Cauchy's Integral Formula, $\mathbf{g}[\mathbf{z}] = \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{z}^2+\mathbf{4}} = \frac{\mathbf{f}[\mathbf{z}]}{\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{z}_0} = \frac{\mathbf{f}[\mathbf{z}]}{\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{2}$ in

$$ln[35] = Solve \left[\frac{1}{z^2 + 4} = \frac{f[z]}{z - 2i}, f[z] \right]$$

Out[35]=
$$\left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{f} \left[\mathbf{z} \right] \rightarrow \frac{1}{2 \dot{\mathbf{n}} + \mathbf{z}} \right\} \right\}$$

and, initializing

$$In[36]:= \mathbf{f}[\mathbf{z}_{-}] = \frac{1}{2 \dot{\mathbf{n}} + \mathbf{z}}$$

Out[36]=
$$\frac{1}{2 i + z}$$

so that I can calculate $f[z_0]$,

Out[37]=
$$-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{4}$$

resulting in the rhs of Cauchy's Integral Formula,

In[38]:=
$$2 \pi i \left(-\frac{i}{4}\right)$$

Matching the answer in the text. All very well, but I didn't yet check the analyticity of g[x].

$$In[39]:= f[x_, y_] = g[z] /.z \rightarrow (x + i y)$$

Out[39]=
$$\frac{1}{4 + (x + i y)^2}$$

In[41]:= ComplexExpand[%];

$$In[42]:= u[x_, y_] =$$

$$\frac{4}{4 \ x^{2} \ y^{2} + \left(4 + x^{2} - y^{2}\right)^{2}} + \frac{x^{2}}{4 \ x^{2} \ y^{2} + \left(4 + x^{2} - y^{2}\right)^{2}} - \frac{y^{2}}{4 \ x^{2} \ y^{2} + \left(4 + x^{2} - y^{2}\right)^{2}} \\ = \frac{4}{4 \ x^{2} \ y^{2} + \left(4 + x^{2} - y^{2}\right)^{2}} + \frac{x^{2}}{4 \ x^{2} \ y^{2} + \left(4 + x^{2} - y^{2}\right)^{2}} - \frac{y^{2}}{4 \ x^{2} \ y^{2} + \left(4 + x^{2} - y^{2}\right)^{2}}$$

$$\ln[43] = \mathbf{v} [\mathbf{x}_{,} \mathbf{y}_{,}] = -\frac{2 \mathbf{x} \mathbf{y}}{4 \mathbf{x}^{2} \mathbf{y}^{2} + (4 + \mathbf{x}^{2} - \mathbf{y}^{2})^{2}}$$

Out[43]=
$$-\frac{2 x y}{4 x^2 y^2 + (4 + x^2 - y^2)^2}$$

True Out[44]=

True Out[45]=


Thus showing that g[x] is indeed analytic, and that the green cell above is valid.

13.
$$\oint_C \frac{z+2}{z-2} dz$$
, C: Abs[z-1] == 2

In[18]:= Clear["Global`*"]

Again, I will first plot the domain.

 $log[19] = ParametricPlot[{2 Cos[t] + 1, 2 Sin[t]}, {t, 0, 2 \pi},$ ImageSize → 150, AxesLabel → {"Re", "Im"}, GridLines → Automatic]



$$ln[20]:= g[z_] = \frac{z+2}{z-2}$$

Out[20]=
$$\frac{2 + z}{-2 + z}$$

I will adopt the procedure again of assuming that g[x] is analytic in the domain, though I may check it later. The problem point is $z_0 = (2,0)$. It seems straightforward to assign

$$ln[21]:= f[z_] = z + 2$$

Out[21]= **2 + Z**

and

In[22]:= **f[2]**

Out[22]= 4

So that assembling the Cauchy Integral Formula, I get

$$ln[23]:= 2 \pi i (4)$$

8 i π Out[23]=

which matches the answer in the text. As for the question of analyticity,

$$\ln[24] = \mathbf{f}[\mathbf{x}_{,} \mathbf{y}_{,}] = \mathbf{g}[\mathbf{z}] / \cdot \mathbf{z} \rightarrow (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{i} \mathbf{y})$$

$$\cot[24] = \frac{\mathbf{2} + \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{i} \mathbf{y}}{-\mathbf{2} + \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{i} \mathbf{y}}$$

In[30]:= ComplexExpand[%];

$$\ln[26] = \mathbf{u} \left[\mathbf{x}_{-}, \ \mathbf{y}_{-} \right] = -\frac{4}{(-2+\mathbf{x})^{2} + \mathbf{y}^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{x}^{2}}{(-2+\mathbf{x})^{2} + \mathbf{y}^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{y}^{2}}{(-2+\mathbf{x})^{2} + \mathbf{y}^{2}} \\
-\frac{4}{(-2+\mathbf{x})^{2} + \mathbf{y}^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{x}^{2}}{(-2+\mathbf{x})^{2} + \mathbf{y}^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{y}^{2}}{(-2+\mathbf{x})^{2} + \mathbf{y}^{2}}$$

$$ln[27] = \mathbf{v} [\mathbf{x}_{,} \mathbf{y}_{,}] = -\frac{4 \mathbf{y}}{(-2 + \mathbf{x})^{2} + \mathbf{y}^{2}}$$

Out[27]=
$$-\frac{4y}{(-2+x)^2+y^2}$$

 $\label{eq:lossibleZeroQ[D[u[x, y], x] - D[v[x, y], y]]} \endaligned \text{PossibleZeroQ[D[u[x, y], x] - D[v[x, y], y]]}$ ${\tt PossibleZeroQ[-D[v[x, y], x] - D[u[x, y], y]]}$

True Out[28]=

True Out[29]=

Demonstrating that the function g[z] is analytic.


15.
$$\oint_{C} \frac{\cosh\left[z^{2} - \pi i\right]}{z - \pi i} dz,$$

C: the boundary of the square with vertices \pm 2, \pm 2, \pm 4 i

In[2]:= Clear["Global`*"]

Something is wrong with the statement of the problem. The domain square is messed up, I will assume it is the square with corners $\pm 2 + 0i$, and $\pm 2 + 4i$, as so:

In[3]:= Graphics[Line[{{-2, 0}, {2, 0}, {2, 4}, {-2, 4}, {-2, 0}}], ImageSize
$$\rightarrow$$
 150, Axes \rightarrow True, AxesLabel \rightarrow {"Re", "Im"}, GridLines \rightarrow Automatic]



$$ln[4]:=$$
 $g[z_]=\frac{Cosh[z^2-\pi i]}{z-\pi i}$

Out[4]=
$$-\frac{\text{Cosh}[z^2]}{-i\pi \pi + z}$$

It looks like the problem point is $z_0 = (0 + \pi i)$, which does belong to the domain pictured. This would mean that

In[5]:=
$$\mathbf{f}[\mathbf{z}] = -\mathbf{Cosh}[\mathbf{z}^2]$$
Out[5]= $-\mathbf{Cosh}[\mathbf{z}^2]$

and $f[z_0]$ would be

$$ln[6]:=$$
 f [π **i**]
$$Out[6]=$$
 -Cosh [π^2]

So the rhs of the Cauchy Integral Formula would just be

$$ln[7]:= 2 \pi i \left(-Cosh\left[\pi^2\right]\right)$$

Out[7]=
$$-2 i \pi Cosh[\pi^2]$$

In[8]:= **N**[%]

Both green cells above match the text answer. So this one is done, except that the analyticity of g[z] has not yet been checked. (And by the way, the assumption about the vertices of the domain seems to have been confirmed by the answer.)

$$ln[9] = \mathbf{f}[\mathbf{x}_{,} \mathbf{y}_{,}] = \mathbf{g}[\mathbf{z}] / \cdot \mathbf{z} \rightarrow (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \dot{\mathbf{n}})$$

$$Out[9] = -\frac{Cosh[(\mathbf{x} + \dot{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{y})^{2}]}{-\dot{\mathbf{n}} \pi + \mathbf{x} + \dot{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{y}}$$

In[17]:= ComplexExpand[%];

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{In[11]:= } u\left[x_{_}, \ y_{_}\right] = -\frac{x \, \text{Cos}\left[2 \, x \, y\right] \, \text{Cosh}\left[x^2 - y^2\right]}{x^2 + \left(-\pi + y\right)^2} + \\ & \frac{\pi \, \text{Sin}\left[2 \, x \, y\right] \, \text{Sinh}\left[x^2 - y^2\right]}{x^2 + \left(-\pi + y\right)^2} - \frac{y \, \text{Sin}\left[2 \, x \, y\right] \, \text{Sinh}\left[x^2 - y^2\right]}{x^2 + \left(-\pi + y\right)^2} + \\ & \frac{\pi \, \text{Cos}\left[2 \, x \, y\right] \, \text{Cosh}\left[x^2 - y^2\right]}{x^2 + \left(-\pi + y\right)^2} + \\ & \frac{\pi \, \text{Sin}\left[2 \, x \, y\right] \, \text{Sinh}\left[x^2 - y^2\right]}{x^2 + \left(-\pi + y\right)^2} - \frac{y \, \text{Sin}\left[2 \, x \, y\right] \, \text{Sinh}\left[x^2 - y^2\right]}{x^2 + \left(-\pi + y\right)^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{In[12]:= } \mathbf{v} \big[\mathbf{x}_{_}, \ \mathbf{y}_{_} \big] = -\frac{\pi \, \text{Cos} \big[2 \, \mathbf{x} \, \mathbf{y} \big] \, \text{Cosh} \big[\mathbf{x}^2 - \mathbf{y}^2 \big]}{\mathbf{x}^2 + (-\pi + \mathbf{y})^2} + \\ & \frac{\mathbf{y} \, \text{Cos} \big[2 \, \mathbf{x} \, \mathbf{y} \big] \, \text{Cosh} \big[\mathbf{x}^2 - \mathbf{y}^2 \big]}{\mathbf{x}^2 + (-\pi + \mathbf{y})^2} - \frac{\mathbf{x} \, \text{Sin} \big[2 \, \mathbf{x} \, \mathbf{y} \big] \, \text{Sinh} \big[\mathbf{x}^2 - \mathbf{y}^2 \big]}{\mathbf{x}^2 + (-\pi + \mathbf{y})^2} + \\ & \frac{\mathbf{y} \, \text{Cos} \big[2 \, \mathbf{x} \, \mathbf{y} \big] \, \text{Cosh} \big[\mathbf{x}^2 - \mathbf{y}^2 \big]}{\mathbf{x}^2 + (-\pi + \mathbf{y})^2} - \frac{\mathbf{x} \, \text{Sin} \big[2 \, \mathbf{x} \, \mathbf{y} \big] \, \text{Sinh} \big[\mathbf{x}^2 - \mathbf{y}^2 \big]}{\mathbf{x}^2 + (-\pi + \mathbf{y})^2} \end{aligned}$$

ln[15]:= PossibleZeroQ[D[u[x, y], x] - D[v[x, y], y]] PossibleZeroQ[-D[v[x, y], x] - D[u[x, y], y]]

Out[15]=

True

Out[16]=

True


Confirming the analyticity of g[z].

17.
$$\oint_C \frac{\text{Log}[z+1]}{z^2+1} dz$$
, C: Abs $[z-i] = 1.4$

Clear["Global`*"]

First to examine the domain.

ParametricPlot[$\{1.4 \cos[t], 1.4 \sin[t] + 1\}$, $\{t, 0, 2\pi\}$, ImageSize → 150, AxesLabel → {"Re", "Im"}, GridLines → Automatic]



$$g[z_{-}] = \frac{Log[z+1]}{z^{2}+1}$$

$$\frac{\text{Log}[1+z]}{1+z^2}$$

It is apparent that the obstacle point will be $z_0=0+i$, which is included in the domain. Suppose I make

$$f[z_{-}] = \frac{Log[z+1]}{z+i}$$

$$\frac{\text{Log}[1+z]}{\dot{\mathbf{1}}+\mathbf{z}}$$

then

$$f[z] \frac{1}{z - \dot{n}} \\ \frac{Log[1 + z]}{(-\dot{n} + z) (\dot{n} + z)}$$

which is just what I want, because it will fit directly into the Cauchy Integral Formula.

f[z] 2πi

$$\frac{2 i \pi Log[1+z]}{i+z}$$

f[i] 2πi

$$\pi \operatorname{Log}[1+i]$$

N[%]

$$1.08879 + 2.4674 i$$


The green cells above match the text answer. All that remains is to check analyticity of g[z]. This turns out to be more difficult than expected. So I'm going to weasle out on this one. According to Wikipedia, the log function is analytic on any open set in its domain, and polynomials, like z^2+1 , are analytic. According to http://kisonecat.com/teaching/2011/math-660/lecture02.pdf, the quotient of analytic functions is analytic, as long as the denominator is non-zero.

19.
$$\oint_C \frac{Exp[z^2]}{z^2 (z-1-i)} dz,$$
C: consists of Abs[z] == 2 counterclockwise and Abs[z] == 1 clockwise.

This problem is included in the s.m. First I will make a sketch.

```
Clear["Global`*"]
```

ParametricPlot[{{1 Cos[t], 1 Sin[t]}, {2 Cos[t], 2 Sin[t]}}, {t, 0, 2
$$\pi$$
}, ImageSize \rightarrow 200, AxesLabel \rightarrow {"Re", "Im"}, GridLines \rightarrow Automatic, Epilog \rightarrow {{RGBColor[$\frac{95}{255}$, $\frac{130}{255}$, $\frac{179}{255}$], Arrowheads[0.08], Arrow[{{0.708, 0.687}, {0.74, 0.66}}]}, {Red, Point[{0, 0}]}, {RGBColor[$\frac{223}{255}$, $\frac{155}{255}$, $\frac{52}{255}$], Arrowheads[0.08], Arrow[{{-1.26, 1.55}}, {-1.48, 1.35}}]}}



I see two obstacle points, one at 0+0i, and another at 1+i. Only the second problem point is in the domain.

So I will just write

$$g[z] = \frac{Exp[z^{2}]}{z^{2}(z-1-i)}$$

$$\frac{e^{z^{2}}}{((-1-i)+z)z^{2}}$$

and, leaving in the denominator of the original g[z] only what seems to handle the problem point, I will have

$$f[z_{-}] = \frac{Exp[z^{2}]}{z^{2}}$$

$$\frac{e^{z^{2}}}{z^{2}}$$

I need to have the problem point ready to hand

$$z_0 = 1 + i$$

$$1 + i$$

and also the value of f evaluated at the problem point

$$f[z_0] - \frac{1}{2} i e^{2i}$$

then to assemble the rhs of the Cauchy Integral Formula

$$2\pi i (f[z_0])$$

$$e^{2\,\dot{\mathtt{n}}}\,\pi$$

The above cell matches the text answer. The analyticity of g[z] is vouched for in the same way as the last problem, Wikipedia specifically mentioning the exponential function as being analytic.